

To: Ashley Ahearn (aahearn@kuow.org)[aahearn@kuow.org]
Cc: Flint, Bryan (DNR)[Bryan.Flint@dnr.wa.gov]; SWENDDAL, KRISTIN (DNR)[KRISTIN.SWENDDAL@dnr.wa.gov]
From: FERRIS, MELISSA (DNR)
Sent: Wed 2/15/2012 11:26:54 PM
Subject: interview follow up
[coordinates for vessels removed.xlsx](#)
[Vessels of Concern.xlsx](#)

Ashley,
To follow up on your questions from our interview yesterday:

The two-year budget appropriation for the Derelict Vessel Removal Account is \$1.761 million for 11-13.

Of that we anticipate about \$1.4 million will be spent on direct vessel removal costs (~\$700,000/year); we have spent about \$200,000 on direct removal costs since July 1, 2011. The remainder goes toward program administration and indirect vessel costs (such as time spent researching ownership, outreach to local agencies, vessel reconnaissance, etc).

I estimate that each million spent is worth about 6-7 full-time-equivalent jobs.

I have attached a spreadsheet with a list and general location for ~385 vessels that have been removed with derelict vessel removal program funding to date. Where we have coordinates they are listed in digital decimal degrees. As I mentioned in the interview, we only recently started compiling standardized coordinates so many of the older vessels only have a general location listed. I believe we decided that this will give you the ability to have a good map without turning existing potential problem vessels into an attractive nuisance.

I have attached a "vessels of concern" spreadsheet which is the data you requested from our task force database. Please keep in mind that this database is a communal effort between multiple agencies and we update it as we have time. The information is not meant to be a real-time record but more of a way to share basic information and allow the agencies to know what other agencies may be working on a particular vessel. Some of the vessels may have moved since the vessels were entered into the database. These vessel don't necessarily meet the Washington definition of derelict or abandoned but they were vessels that had been noted or reported to the agencies as ones that were of potential concern. As I mentioned yesterday, if you create a map of the vessels I think it would be helpful to make the locations very general so as not to make existing problems worse.

Also, I forgot to mention, in the category of vessels removed that were over 200', we worked with the Washington Dept of Ecology to remove the Catala from Ocean Shores several years ago
<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/spills/incidents/catala/cata.htm> The DNR portion was funded by a special legislative appropriation and a federal grant.

If you have additional questions, or if I forgot to include something, please let me know.

Melissa Ferris

Program Manager
Derelict Vessel Removal Program, Aquatic Resources Division
Washington State Department of Natural Resources
(360) 902-1574
melissa.ferris@dnr.wa.gov
www.dnr.wa.gov